## How to estimate uncertainties of force measurements

The presentation starts at 4 pm CET / 10 am Eastern

Thomas Kleckers - Product Manager

## Organizational Information

- All participants' microphones are muted during the webinar.
- Please do not forget to activate your PC speakers to enable audio or connect headphones to your PC. You may have to take the step of joining the audio conference to hear sound.
- Please type any questions you have into the WebEx Q\&A dialog
- You can open the $Q \& A$ window by selecting the " $Q \& A$ " icon in the WebEx toolbar at the top of your screen:

- Today's presentation will be E-mailed to all attendees. The webinar will also be posted on our website: http://www.hbm.com/en/3157/webinars/
- If you have additional technical questions, feel free to contact our technical support team at support@usa.hbm.com


## Today's speaker

## Thomas Kleckers

- Product manager for force sensors at HBK
- Engineer for physical technology

- 16 years experience in sensor development
- > 10 Jears experience in force measurment technology
- E-Mail: Thomas.Kleckers@hbkworld.com
- Thomas likes hiking, race bikes and motor cycles


## Agenda

1. Definitions / general hints
2. Systematic errors
3. Estimation of the measurement uncertainty
4. Example
5. Not precise enough?

## Definitions / general hints

The educated does not drive the accuracy behind the nature of the things

## Prof. Werner Richter: <br> „A measurement result without an uncertainty calculation is so much disputable that it should not be mentioned

## Kleckers

It is important to know

- what the value of my measurement uncertainty is
- how can I improve my accuracy?


## Definitions / general hints



## Definitions / general hints



This measurement device has a resolution of 1 mm

A DMP41 can show 2 Mio digits.
Resolution: $2,5 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V} / 1$ Mio $=0,0025 \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V}$

## Definitions / general hints

## Accuracy class?

| Strain gauge full bridge, $\mathbf{5}$ or $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{m V} / \mathrm{V}$ measuring range, bridge excitation $\mathrm{AC} /$ carrier frequency |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy class |  | 0.05 |
| Carrier frequency (sine) | Hz | $4800 \pm 1.5$ |
| Bridge excitation voltage (effective) | V | 1 and $2.5( \pm 5 \%)$ |
| Transducers that can be connected |  | strain gauge full bridges |
| Permissible cable length between MX840B <br> and transducer | m | $<100$ |


| Type |  |  | S2M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal (rated) force | $F_{\text {nom }}$ | N | 10 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1000 |
| Accuracy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accuracy class |  |  | 0.020.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Relative reproducibility and repeatability errors without rotation | $b_{\text {rg }}$ | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Relative reversibility error | $v$ |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| Non-linearity | $d_{\text {lin }}$ |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |
| Dalatica mraon marar 30 min | d -- |  |  |  |  | n \% |  |  |  |

## Definitions / general hints

## Accuracy class?

## Everybody can do whatever he wants!

- No standard existing
- \% of full scale
- Do not mix up with
- Measurement uncertainty
- Accuracy class according ISO376
- You can not compare sensors from different suppliers

- You can not calculate any errors or uncertainties with the accuracy class
- BUT: Choosing a DAQ-System that fits to the sensor- this works!


## Definitions / general hints

## What is the accuracy of my measurement chain?

Sorry, depends on ....


## Systematic errors

## Systematic deviations

It is known if the difference is positive or negative as well as the value of the deviation
$\rightarrow$ have to be corrected


## Example

The weight of load introduction parts:
$\rightarrow$ Tare your measurement chain

## Estimation of the measurement uncertainty

Other measurement errors (not systematic)
It is not known if the error is positive or negative as well as the value
$\rightarrow$ Measurement uncertainty


## Estimation of the measurement uncertainty

## GUM =„Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement"

- For highest scientific demands
- Requires some special knowlegde
- Some effort
> "The determination of the measurement uncertainty is not a routine job or a math's problem- a detail knowledge about the measurement task is required"


## Estimation of the measurement uncertainty

Measurement chain
Hysteresis
Linearity
TCZero
TCSpan
Bending moment
Sensitivity...

```
Process
Temperatures
Side load existing?
Humidity?
```

Post process or real time calculation

Used filter Rounding error
...
-••

## Measurement uncertainty

Adjustment of the measurement chain

According datasheet?
According test certificate?
According individual
Calibration?

Calibration
Daks-Calibration?
Calibration in mounting
position?

## Estimation of the measurement uncertainty

## Methods according GUM-standard

## Method A

- Get a suitable number of individual measurements
- Calculate the mean value
- The measurement uncertainty can be calculated by calculating the standard deviation of the results


## Method B

- Use of existing information on influences that have an impact on the measurement uncertainty
- Calculating the resulting measurement uncertainty by using the single results above


## Method B is the better choice for force measurements in most case.

## Estimation of the measurement uncertainty

Strategy with measurement uncertainty:

- Calculation of the individual errors
- Staticticaloharaotoristic of the individuaiploperties
- Geometrical addition
- Taking carofor tho rango-of unoortainty

We need to state: No single error is depending on another one!


This is a more or less rough estimation
HINT: HBM Seminar "Uncertainty of measurement chains"

## Example

Tension measurement for a component test

- Load cell U2B/5KN
- Range of force (Sinus)
- Temperature range
- Frequency
- Testing duration
- Zero-point setting
- Adjustment according datasheet

Capacity 5 kN
between 0 and 1 kN
$23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ up to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
15 Hz
30 min
before every test
$5 \mathrm{kN}=2 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$

## Example: What is relates to full scale, what to actual value?



Related to actual value


Related to actual value, but depending of history


All errors related to full scale have a big impact on measurement of low forces!
Example: S9M/1kn, 100 N are measured:
TCZero: $200 \mathrm{ppm} / 10 \mathrm{~K}$ relative to 1000 N . This is $2000 \mathrm{ppm} / 10 \mathrm{~K}$ relative to 100 N with the same load cell and the same change in temperature.

## Example

## Data sheet of the U2B:

- Tolerance of rated output:
- Linearity deviation.:
- Hysteresis
- TCSpan:
- TCZero:
- Creep (30 min):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm 0.2 \% \quad \text { (related to MV) } \\
& \pm 0,1 \% \quad \text { (related to FS) } \\
& \pm 0,15 \% \text { (related to FS) } \\
& \pm 0,1 \% \quad \text { (related to MV) } \\
& \pm 0,05 \% \text { (related to FS) } \\
& \pm 0,06 \% ~(\text { related to MV) }
\end{aligned}
$$


$M V=$ rel. to measurement value $F S=$ relative to full scale

## Example

- Tolerance of the rated output
(Related to actual value)

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{dC}}=0.2 \% \text { of } 1 \mathrm{kN}=\underline{\mathbf{2 N}}
$$



## Example

- Linearity deviation
(Related to full scale)

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{d} \text { lin }}=0,1 \% \text { of } 5 \mathrm{kN}=\mathbf{5 \mathbf { N }}
$$

## Example

- Hysteresis
(Related to full scale)
$\Delta_{\text {hys }}=0.15 \%$ of $5 \mathrm{kN}=\underline{\mathbf{7 , 5 N}}$



## Example

TCZero and TCSpan

- TCZero
(Related to full scale)
$\Delta_{\text {TK0 }}=0,05 \%$ of $5 \mathrm{kN} \cdot\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / 10 \mathrm{~K}=\underline{\mathbf{5 , 5} \mathbf{N}}$



## Example

TCZero and TCSpan

## - TCSpan

(Related to actual value)

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{TKC}}=0,1 \% \text { of } 1 \mathrm{kN} \cdot\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / 10 \mathrm{~K}=\underline{\mathbf{2 . 2} \mathrm{N}}
$$



## Example

- Creep
(Related to actual value)


## Example

- Tolerance of the rated output (Related to actual value)

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{dC}}=0.2 \% \text { von } 1 \mathrm{kN}=\underline{\mathbf{2 ~ N}}
$$

- Linearity deviation (Related to full scale)

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{d} \operatorname{lin}}=0,1 \% \text { von } 5 \mathrm{kN}=\mathbf{5 \mathrm { N }}
$$

- Hysteresis (Related to full scale)

$$
\Delta_{\text {hys }}=0.15 \% \text { von } 5 \mathrm{kN}=\underline{\mathbf{7 , 5}} \mathbf{N}
$$

- TCSpan (Related to actual value)

$$
\Delta_{\text {TKC }}=0,1 \% \text { von } 1 \mathrm{kN} \cdot\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / 10 \mathrm{~K}=\underline{\mathbf{2 . 2} \mathbf{N}}
$$

- TCZero (Related to full scale)

$$
\Delta_{\text {TKO }}=0,05 \% \text { von } 5 \mathrm{kN} \cdot\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / 10 \mathrm{~K}=\underline{\mathbf{5 , 5} \mathbf{N}}
$$

- Creep (Related to actual value)

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{cr}}=0,06 \% \text { von } 3 \mathrm{kN}=\underline{\mathbf{0 . 6} \mathbf{N}}
$$

## Example / How to improve

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\mathrm{ges}} & \approx \sqrt{\Delta_{d C}^{2}+\Delta_{d l i n}^{2}+\Delta_{\text {hys }}^{2}+\Delta_{T K C}^{2}+\Delta_{T K 0}^{2}+\Delta_{c r}^{2}} \\
& =\sqrt{(2 \mathrm{~N})^{2}+(5 \mathrm{~N})^{2}+(7.5 \mathrm{~N})^{2}+(2.2 \mathrm{~N})^{2}+(5.5 \mathrm{~N})^{2}+(0.6 \mathrm{~N})^{2}} \\
& \approx 10,98 \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Error: 1,1\% (K=1) ....too big??

- Lower capacity
(lower influence of all parameters that related to full scale)
- More stable temperature conditions
(lower influence of TCZerol/TCSpan)
- Calibration at HBM
(Lower linearity deviation, lower tolerance of sensitivity)

How to improve



Accuracy Class 0,02

Accuracy Class 0,03

## How to improve

- Tolerance of the rated output (Related to actual value)

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{dC}}=0.01 \% \text { von } 1 \mathrm{kN}=\underline{\mathbf{0 , 1} \mathrm{N}}
$$

- Linearity deviation (Related to full scale)

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{d} \text { lin }}=0.03 \% \text { von } 5 \mathrm{kN}=\mathbf{1 , 5 \mathrm { N }}
$$

- Hysteresis (Related to full scale)

$$
\Delta_{\text {hys }}=0.03 \% \text { von } 5 \mathrm{kN}=\underline{\mathbf{1 , 5} \mathbf{N}}
$$

- TCSpan (Related to actual value)

$$
\Delta_{\text {TKC }}=0,015 \% \text { von } 1 \mathrm{kN} \cdot\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / 10 \mathrm{~K}=\underline{\mathbf{0} .33 \mathbf{N}}
$$

- TCZero (Related to full scale)

$$
\Delta_{\text {TK0 }}=0,015 \% \text { von } 5 \mathrm{kN} \cdot\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / 10 \mathrm{~K}=\underline{\mathbf{0 . 8 2 5} \mathbf{N}}
$$

- Creep (Related to actual value)

$$
\Delta_{\text {cr }}=0,04 \% \text { von } 3 \mathrm{kN}=\underline{\mathbf{0 . 4} \mathbf{N}}
$$

## Error: $2,33 \mathrm{~N}(=0,233 \%)$ for $\mathrm{k}=1$



## Another effect of precision: Larger measurement range



## Questions?

- Please type any questions you have into the WebEx Q\&A dialog
- You can open the Q\&A window by selecting the "Q\&A" icon in the WebEx toolbar at the top of your screen:

- Today's presentation will be E-mailed to all attendees. The webinar will also be posted on our website: http://www.hbm.com/en/3157/webinars/
- If you have additional technical questions, feel free to contact our technical support team at support@usa.hbm.com



## Thank You

HBK

